

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,799	11/30/2001	Siu Man L. Cowan	22112 (3)	9508
75	90 08/22/2002			
Patricia A. Coburn			EXAMINER	
Suite 100	nary Therapeutics, Inc.		PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
1801 Watermark Drive Columbus, OH 43215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/020,799 Applicant(s)

Cowan et al

Examiner

Alton Pryor

Art Unit **1616**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	or Reply				
THE I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This ac	tion is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	ion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u>				
	Claim(s)				
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗆	a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
;	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No			
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	e certified copies not received.			
14) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachmo		# □ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
_	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			
24					

Application/Control Number: 10020799

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103(a)

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1,3,4,10,11,13,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adjei et al (US 4851211; 7/25/89). Adjei teaches an aerosol formulation comprising organic solvent (ethanol), a luteinizing hormone (protein) suspended in ethanol, and lipophilic counterion (derivatized carbohydrate). See column 2 line 7 column 5 line 51.
- 7. Claims 1,3,4,10,11,13,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ban et al (HU 62473; 5/28/93). Ban teaches an aerosol formulation comprising organic solvent (ethanol), a osetrogenic hormone (protein) suspended in ethanol, and 1-amyl-nitrite (derivatized carbohydrate). See abstract.
 - 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10020799

Art Unit: 1616

9. Claims 2,8,9,14-17,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Adjei as described above. See 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection above. Adjei recites all that is in claims

2,8,9,14-17,19 except for the aerosol comprising the instant amounts of ingredients and instant

particle sizes. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been expected to determine the

optimum amounts of ingredients and optimum particle sizes through routine experimentation.

One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop the most effective aerosol.

10. Claims 2,8,9,14-17,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Ban as described above. See 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection above. Ban recites all that is in claims

2,8,9,14-17,19 except for the aerosol comprising the instant amounts of ingredients and instant

particle sizes. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been expected to determine the

optimum amounts of ingredients and optimum particle sizes through routine experimentation.

One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop the most effective aerosol.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner, AU 1616

8/21/02